

Teacher Directions: INVASIVE PLANTS



GRADE 6/7 Two 60 to 90 -minute classroom sessions for Research and Essay Writing. Students may take additional time if needed. Provide on-line assessment if possible.

Task Overview

Part 1 (60 to 90 minutes): Tasked with writing an essay about the impact of invasive plants on our environment, students will read a fact sheet, a blog entry, and watch a video, taking notes on these sources. They will then respond to three constructed-response questions addressing the research skills of analyzing and integrating data, evaluating sources, and using evidence to support arguments.

Part 2 (60 to 90 minutes): Using their notes and source material, students work independently to write an informational essay explaining what invasive plants are, why they are a problem, and how we can help.

Scorable Products: Responses to the constructed-response questions and the essay will be scored.

Suggested Pre-task Activity: Discuss what invasive plants are and why we should be concerned. Share pictures of invasive plants that are local in your area. Tell students that they will explore the problems connected to invasive plants in this performance task.

Directions for Administration:

Part 1 (60 to 90 minutes)

Students are given the texts and video to learn about marine debris.

- Initiate the testing session. Use online testing if possible.
- Provide approximately 60 to 90 minutes to complete Part 1.
- Provide additional time as needed. The SBAC assessments are untimed.
- Make sure students put their names on their notes

Part 2 (60 to 90 minutes)

- Allow students to use their notes and data sheets to outline their essays.
- Review the essay rubric with the students.
- Provide 60 to 90 minutes to write essays.
- Provide additional time as needed. The SBAC assessments are untimed.







1. Explain what invasive plants are and why people should be concerned about them. Use details from the sources to support your answer. Cite your sources. (Claim 4, Target 2)

ANALYZE / INTEGRATE Information Rubric Claim 4, Target 2				
2	 The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to gather, analyze and integrate information within and among multiple sources of information. 			
1	• The response gives limited evidence of the ability to gather, analyze and integrate information within and among multiple sources of information.			
0	 A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to gather analyze and integrate information within and among multiple sources of information. 			

Scoring Notes:

Video: <u>Definition</u>: Plants from somewhere else that cause problems. <u>Concerns</u>: Grow fast, takes over, cause problems, strong enough to take down power lines, block light in water, taking oxygen which kills fish; swimmers can drown/ trapped; motors are harmed; rapidly reproduction.

Fact Sheet: <u>Definition</u>: Weeds of foreign origin. <u>Concerns</u>: Risk of fire; costly to clean up, kills native plants, damages structures, uproots trees, hurts nesting animals, reduces crops, most flower, can grow in different habits, seeds spread easily, spread by animals, easily reproduced, immune to diseases; short life cycle

Blog: <u>Definition</u>: Non-native species; distinguished from weeds <u>Concerns</u>: Took over spaces where favorite plants used to be; blocked sunlight; covered ground; climbed up the side of deck and major portions of the fence; takes time to remove them- fire, covered in oil, buried, dug, gives bruises and splinters; Easy to access- can by at a garden center

2 Points:

- Correctly defines invasive plants as coming from somewhere else (non-native/foreign origin)
- States the concern that these plants do damage to various types of damage
- Uses a specific example
- References more than one of the sources
- Cites the sources used

1 Point:

- Incomplete definition
- Lack of specific examples
- Uses information from only one source
- Does not reference sources







0 Points:

- Inaccurate definition
- Lacks specific details
- No reference to sources
- Off topic

Sample 2-point Response

Invasive plants are considered to be harmful weeds that are non-native to an area. People should be concerned about native plants. According to the video, invasive plants are harmful to native plants, wildlife and to people. For instance, they take away oxygen which kills fish. They can also drown swimmers. In addition, the fact sheet explains that invasive plants are harmful because they can damage structures, uproot trees, and are immune to diseases. All the sources talk about how hard it is to get rid of these plants.

Sample 1-point Responses

- Invasive plants are plants that are not native to an area and come in and cause problems. We should be concerned about them because they can cause many harmful problems such as killing native plant, damaging buildings, trees, animals, crops, water plants and get stuck in boat motors. Sources should be referenced.
- Invasive plants are weeds that were not grown on purpose. They can spread fast and are very difficult to get rid of. People should be concerned about them because they can overgrow buildings and kill or damage native plants. They can also reduce crop production. Definition should include non-native or plants from other areas. Sources should be referenced.

Sample 0-point Response

- They are plants that cause danger and stress. People should be concerned about them because they kill other plants and grow rapidly. Definition is incorrect. No reference to the specific sources. No details to support the one accurate generalization.
- They are very bad and can kill native plants. Response is on topic but no development or detail.
 No source reference







2. Evaluate which source, the video, or the fact sheet, would be most helpful to the blog writer. Use details from both sources to support your answer. (Claim 4, Target 3)

EVALUATE Information/Sources Rubric Claim 4, Target 3					
2	 The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources. 				
1	 The response gives limited evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources. 				
0	 A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to evaluate the credibility, completeness, relevancy, and/or accuracy of the information and sources. 				

Scoring Notes:

The students should connect their reasons to the needs of the blogger. Students should give specific examples from the source selected.

Fact Sheet would be more useful: The blogger wants to solve his problem with invasive plant species and the fact sheet is more specific to the blogger's needs.

Characteristics of invasive plants

Common consequences of invasive plant invasion

How to control invasive plant species

Credibility: website of the United States Department of Agriculture

Video would be more useful: The video provides pictures that would help the blogger better understand his problems and shows the importance of removing invasive species.

- Characteristics of invasive plants
- Common consequences of invasive plant invasion
- Importance of removing the plants: Very dramatic with visuals!
- Credibility: Spokesperson has a college degree, has published articles about invasive species, and has traveled over the world studying these plants







2 Points:

- Selects either the video or the fact sheet as the most useful to the blogger
- Provides specific reasons for the choice
- Connects the reasons to the needs of the blogger
- Uses details from both sources

1 Point:

- Selects one source as better
- Provides a rationale for the source selected but lacks specific details
- Does not reference the second source in comparison

0 Points:

- Fails to identify one source as more useful in meeting the needs of the blogger
- Names a source but with no rationale or detail
- Off-topic

Sample 2-point Responses:

- The fact sheet would be the most helpful source for the blog writer. The fact sheet provides cut and dry answers so that the blogger will know the characteristic of invasive plants, common consequences, and how to control invasive plant species. Credibility is much higher; the website is from the United States Department of Agriculture. The video only shows a visual of invasive plants and why they are a problem without referencing sources.
- The video would be the most helpful source for the blog writer. The video includes facts about invasive plants just like the fact sheet but also provides a picture of these plants and how destructive they can be. The video shows how fast the plants grow and the many problems that the plants create like taking oxygen from the water and killing the fish. The video will motivate the blogger to get rid of his invasive plants and not to plant any more.

Sample 1-point Responses:

- The fact sheet would be more useful to the blogger because it tells you more facts including how to control the plants and the characteristics of the plants. For example, the fact sheet lists four specific ways to control these plants and the blogger can use this information. No reference to the video
- The video would be the most helpful to the blog writer because the video tells which species are invasive like Kudzu and water hyacinth, and ways to get rid of them like uprooting them. No reference to the fact sheet

Sample 0-point Responses:

- The fact sheet is more useful because it has a lot more facts than the video. Too general.
- The video is more useful because it gives examples to the viewer. Too general and no reference to the fact sheet.







3. Analyze why some people might not want to get rid of invasive plants. Use details from the sources to support your answer. Cite your sources. (Claim 4, Target 4)

USE EVIDENCE Rubric Claim 4, Target 4				
2	1. The response gives sufficient evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas.			
1	2. The response gives limited evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas.			
0	3. A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence no evidence of the ability to cite evidence to support arguments and/or ideas.			

Scoring Notes:

Video: These plants grow very fast and often have pretty flowers.

Fact Sheet: Grow fast, able to grow in many habitats, have few natural diseases, are flowering, resistant

to animal grazing

Blog Entry: Fast growing, unusual and beautiful

2 Points:

- States a reason for why people might not want to get rid of invasive plants
- Provides evidence for the reason from at least two of the sources
- Uses details to support the reason provided
- · Cites the sources used

1 Point:

- States a text-based reason
- References only one or none of the sources
- Provides little or no details from the source(s)

0 Points:

- Vague response
- Off topic







Sample 2-point Response

Some people might like to keep invasive plants because they are "unusual and beautiful" like the blogger says. Also, these plants grow very rapidly like we see in the video. The plants can provide ground cover that looks attractive. The fact sheet also states that the plants are resistant to diseases and animal grazing. For all these reasons, some people might not want to get rid of their invasive plants.

Sample 1-point Responses

- The reason some people might not want to get rid of these plants is because they are pretty and they want their garden to look nice. No reference to specific sources
- Some people think that the plants are beautiful and they like how big the plants get. These people don't understand how they are ruining the ecosystem. No reference to specific sources

Sample 0-point Response

These plants have flowers. Too vague; no reference to specific sources



Informative / Explanatory Writing Rubric (Grades 6-11) Scoring Version



Score	4	3	2	1
Statement of Purpose/Focus	The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused: consistent or main idea of a topic is clearly communicated, and the focus is strongly maintained for the purpose, audience, and task	The response is adequately sustained and generally focused: controlling or main idea of the topic is clear, and the focus is mostly maintained for the purpose, audience, and task	The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus: controlling or main idea of a topic may be somewhat unclear, and the focus may be insufficiently sustained for the purpose, audience, and task	The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus: controlling or main idea of the topic may be somewhat confusing or ambiguous; response may be too brief or the focus may drift from the purpose, audience, and task
Organization	The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness: consistent use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas effective introduction and conclusion logical progression of ideas from beginning to end; strong connections between and among ideas, with some syntactic variety	The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected: • adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety to clarify the relationships between and among ideas • adequate introduction and conclusion • adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end; adequate connections between and among ideas	The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident: • inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety • introduction and conclusion, if present, may be weak • uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end; and/or formulaic; inconsistent or unclear connections between and among ideas	The response has little or no discernible organizational structure: • few or no transitional strategies are evident • introduction and conclusion, if present, may be missing • frequent extraneous ideas may be evident; ideas may be randomly ordered or have an unclear progression
Elaboration of Evidence	The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the controlling idea and supporting idea(s) that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. • comprehensive evidence from sources is integrated; references are relevant and specific • effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques*	The response provides adequate support/evidence for the controlling idea and supporting idea(s) that includes the use of sources, facts, and details: • adequate evidence from sources is integrated; some references may be general • adequate use of some elaborative techniques*	The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the controlling idea and supporting idea(s) that includes uneven or limited use of sources, facts, and details: • some evidence from sources is weakly integrated, imprecise, or repetitive; references may be vague • weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques*; development may consist primarily of source summary	The response provides minimal support/evidence for the controlling idea and supporting idea(s) that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details: • evidence from the source material is minimal or irrelevant; references may be absent or incorrectly used • minimal, if any, use of elaborative techniques*
Language	The response clearly and effectively elaborates ideas, using precise language: • vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose • effective, appropriate style enhances content	The response adequately elaborates ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language: • vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose • generally appropriate style is evident	The response elaborates ideas unevenly, using simplistic language: • vocabulary is uneven or somewhat ineffective for the audience and purpose • inconsistent or weak attempt to create appropriate style	The response is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing: • vocabulary is limited or ineffective for the audience and purpose • little or no evidence of appropriate style

Score	2	1	0
Conventions	The response demonstrates a command of conventions: • adequate use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling	The response demonstrates partial command of conventions: Imited use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling	The response demonstrates little or no command of conventions: • infrequent use of correct sentence formation, punctuation, capitalization, grammar usage, and spelling

NS Unintelligible, in a language other than English, off-topic, insufficient evidence (incomplete) or copied text. (Off-purpose writing will still receive a score in Conventions.)

Except where otherwise noted, this work developed by <u>Pacific Education Institute</u> (PEI) for the <u>Washington Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction</u>, is available under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License</u>. All logos and trademarks are the property of their respective owners.



^{*}Elaborative techniques may include the use of personal experiences that support the controlling idea.